THE ROLE OF URETERAL STENTS IN NON-COMPLICATED **RETROGRADE URETEROSCOPIES FOR URETERAL CALCULI**

E. MITRANOVICI¹, BIANCA TUDOR², V. OŞAN³

¹Emergency County Hospital of Deva, ² University of Medicine and Pharmacy in Targu Mures, ³The Urology Clinic of Targu Mures

Abstract: The study objective is to evaluate the benefits of inserting the ureteral stent, for one week, in ureteral patients whose ureteral calculi were endoscopically fragmented without intra-operatory complications. retrograde, ureteroscopy, ureteral Material and method. The study comprised 140 patients who had ureteroscopies with the ultrasonic fragmentation of ureteral regional anaesthesia, the calculi size being between 5-10 mm. 109 patients, who had intra-operatory incidents, were split into two groups: A - 54 patients – to whom a stent was inserted intra-operatory, and B - 55 patients – without stent insertion. The following parameters were observed: the presence of lumbar pain, suprapubic pain, renal cramps, irritative urinary syndrome, urinary infection and the need for analgesic administration comparatively for the two groups. Results. The presence of the post-operatory ureteral stent diminishes the lumbar, suprapubic and colicative pains, reducing the need to administer analgesics, but it increases the risc of urinary infection and of the irritative urinary syndrome. Conclusions. The ureteral stent inserted at the end of the endoscopic interventions of ureteral calculi fragmentation has a role in the favourable evolution of patients by increasing the post-operation comfort, but it is also inconvenient to extract it after a week.

Cuvinte cheie: stenturi ureterale, ureteroscopie retrogradă, calculi ureterali

Keywords:

stents.

calculi

Rezumat: Obiectivul studiului este evaluarea beneficiilor insertiei stentului ureteral pe o perioadă de o săptămână la pacienții la care s-a practicat fragmentarea endoscopică a calculilor ureterali fără complicații intraoperatorii. Material și metodă. Studiul a cuprins un lot de 140 de pacienți la care s-au practicat ureteroscopii cu fragmentare ultrasonică a calculilor ureterali în anestezie de conducere, dimensiunea calculilor fiind cuprinsă între 5-10 mm. 109 pacienți care nu au prezentat incidente intraoperatorii au fost împărțiți în două grupuri: grupul A, la care s-a inserat stent intraoperator în număr de 54 pacienți și grupul B, fără inserare de stent respectiv 55 pacienți. S-au urmărit parametrii: prezența durerii lombare, durerii suprapubiene, colicii renale, sindromului iritativ urinar, infecției urinare și a necesității administrării analgezicelor comparativ la ambele grupuri. Rezultate. Prezența stentului ureteral postoperator diminuează durerile lombare suprapubiene și de tip colicativ reducând necesitatea administrării analgezicelor dar, crescând riscul infecției urinare și a sindromului iritativ urinar. Concluzii. Stentul ureteral inserat la finalul intervențiilor endoscopice de fragmentare a calculilor ureterali au un rol în evoluția favorabilă a pacienților prin creșterea confortului postoperator dar prezintă inconvenientul extragerii acestora peste o săptămână.

INTRODUCTION

Retrograde ureteroscopy is considered at present a least invasive method with a high rate of success in the treatment of ureteral lithiasis [1,2,3]. Retrograde ureteroscopy developed at the same time with the possibility to widen the ureterovesical junction and to fragment the ureteral calculi. The ureteral stent represents a catheter with the possibility of intraureteral introduction with the help of a cystoscope or ureteroscope which can maintain its position. At present, they have multiple uses, being recommended for eliminating ureteral obstructions, insuring the elimination of calculi fragments after a therapeutic procedure that facilitates ureteral drainage and the protection of the upper urinary tract. The ureteral stents must meet certain essential characteristics: to be easily placed and extracted, to be radio - opaque, malleable for the patients' comfort, firm, migration-proof, inert and biologically tolerable [biocompatible], with a minimum inlay tendency, with reduced friction on the surface level and permeable on the long run. The urinary lithiasis is the main prescription for the use of autostatic

ureteral catheters in urological pathology.

THE AIM OF THE STUDY

The evaluation of patients who needed ureteroscopies for ureteral calculi, with the 1-week mounting of ureteral stents.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

The study comprised 140 patients on whom ureteroscopies were performed with the ultrasonic fragmentation of the ureteral calculi during 2006 - 2010, in the urology section of the County Hospital of Deva. The calculi size of the patients included in the study was between 5 - 10 mm, most calculi being of oxalate dehydrate - 98 cases (70%) - but also oxalate monohydrate in 15 patients (10.7%), respectively urates in 27 patients(19.3%). The patients had the lithiasis unilaterally situated in most cases on the pelvic ureter -122 cases, iliac -7cases, and lower lumbar - 11 cases. During the interventions, a rigid 14 Charier ureteroscope made by Storz company was used, the calculi being fragmented with an ultrasonic lithotriptor

ACTA MEDICA TRANSILVANICA June 2011; 2(2)268-270

¹Corresponding Author: E. Mitranovici Clinical Hospital Deva Urology Section, Deva, Romania; e-mail: mitranovici@clicknet.ro; tel +40-0743011848

Article received on 28.05.2010 and accepted for publication on 21.06.2010

(sonotrode) made by the same company. Calculi extracting tucks were also used as well as JJ 7 Charier stents. Sterile wather was used as the working medium. All the patients had transitory hematuria remitted in the first 24 hours, and there was no transitory vesicoureteral reflux. The interventions were performed under regional anaesthesia. Only the patients who at the time of the intervention did not have urinary infections proved by the pre-operatory urine and uroculture examination were taken into consideration.

A number of 109 patients did not have intra-operatory complications; the other 31 patients had the following intraoperatory complications: 23 patients had minor ureteral performantions and minor lesions of the ureteral mucous membrane solved by mounting the ureteral autostatic probe for 6 weeks; in 5 patients the calculus fragmentation did not succed, needing a ureterolithotomy under the same anaesthesia; in 2 patients the calculus migration in the pyelocaliceal system occurred, the intervention being temporized after the JJ probe was launched; and 1 case with the lesion of the ureteral orifice, respectively its mucous submembrane, an autostatic probe being introduced for 3 weeks, the calculus being extracted during a new session. We did not have cases of ureteral avulsion, avoiding as much as possible the forced extraction of calculi. Based on the observation charts, the patients without complications were split into two group A - 54 patients - to whom the intra - operatory ureteral stent was mounted, and group B - 55 patients - without a stent. Post operation, all the patients were assessed, the following parameters being observed: the presence of lumbar pains, suprapubic pains, irritative urinary symptoms, the presence of urinary infection and the need for antialgic administration. In the first 24 hours after the operation the urine and uroculture examination was made, as well as a test reno-vesical radiography to identify remaining caliculi fragments and the position of the autostatic probes in the patients to whom they were inserted. The stent extraction was done with the operatory cystoscope and the calculi tucks without anaesthesia in women and intravenous anaesthesia in men. The patients were hospitalised for one week after the operation to observe their evolution, administering antibiotics to the patients with urinary infection in the first 24 hours after the operation (16 patients in group A and 2 patients in group B).

| | | D. |
|--|--|----|
| | | |
| | | |

| Table no. 1. The symptomatology of the patients in the first |
|--|
| day postoperatory |

| | Group A | Group B |
|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Lombar pain | 11 patienți(20.4%) | 42 patienți(76.4%) |
| Renal colicative | 1 patient(1.9%) | 25 patienți(22%) |
| pain | - | |
| Suprapubis Pain | 3 patienți(5.5%) | 7 patienți(13%) |
| Pain treatment | 11 patienți(20.4%) | 37 patienți(13%) |
| Ureteral | 20 patienți(37%) | 3 patienți(5%) |
| irritations | | |
| Urinary | 4 patienți(7.5%) | 2 patienți(3.6%) |
| infections | | |

On the first day after the operation 11 patients (20.4%) from group A had lumbar pains as compared to 42 patients in group B (76.4%). One patient in group A (1.9%) had renal colicative pains as compared to 25 patients (22%) in group B (p<0.001). 11 patients in group A (20.4%) needed antialgics, as compared to 37 patients in group B (67.3%) during hospitalization. Suprapubic pain was noticed in 3 patients of the first group (5.5%) and 7 patients of the second group (13%]). Ureteral irritation was more frequent in the patients with ureteral stent: 20 in group A (37%) and 3 patients without stent in group B

(5%). Urinary infection was noticed in 4 cases in group A (7.5%) and 2 cases in group B (3.6%). One week after the operation only 3 patients in group A (5.5%) had complicative pains, as compared to 11 patients in group B (20%). Urinary infection appeared in 3 patients in group A (5.5%) and 1 patient in group B (1.8%) after the antibiotic therapy during hospitalisation. The need to administer antialgics was present in 4 patients in group A (7.4%) and 6 patients in group B (10.9%). The other symptomatologies disappeared in one week at both groups. At the reno-vesical radiography and urography in both groups there were no residual calculi.

| Table no. 2. The evaluation of the symptomatolo | gy at the | |
|--|-----------|--|
| extraction of the stents in a week after the surgery | | |

| | Group A | Group B |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Colicative pains | 3 patients(5.5%) | 11 patients(20%) |
| Urinary infection | 3 patients(5.5%) | 1 patient(1.8%) |
| Pain treatments | 4 patients(7.4%) | 6 patients(10.9%) |

DISCUTIONS

The most frequent prescription of the ureteral stent in patients with upper urinary tract lithiasis is drainage after endoscopic interventions [1,2,3]. Classically, ureteral endoprosthesis at the end of the procedure was recommended as routine to all the patients who had ureteral calculi ureteroscopy. Nevertheless, numerous studies have re-assessed the usefulness and appropriacy of this manoeuvre

[4,5,6].

There are numerous theoretical advantages of mounting the JJ stent, allowing the elimination of the obstruction that can appear as a consequence of the ureteral wall oedema, protecting the renal function and ameliorating its side symptomatology, the endoprosthesis facilitating also the elimination of the residual lithiasic fragments. It also prevents the appearance of side ureteral stenoses [7,8].

Another argument in favour of the ureteral endoprosthesis is the decrease in the risk of re-hospitalization due to post-aperation complications, especially pain that cannot be controlled through oral medication. The results of different studies have proved that the re-hospitalization rate of patients without stents is three times bigger, yet without significant values [9,10,11].

On the other hand, the placement of the ureteral stent determines the appearance of specific morbidity, being associated with the irritative symptomatology of the lower urinary tract, lumbar pains and urinary infection with urination dysfunctions due to the presence of the stent. Hematuria is also one of its side manifestations. On the other hand, it has been observed that the vesical irritative symptomatology and lumbar pain are more severe on the first post-operation days in the patients without stent. Moreover, ureteral endoprosthesis increases the incidence of transitory vesico-ureteral reflux [3 4,5].

The evaluation of the impact of the ureteral endoprosthesis on the duration of the surgical intervention has led to contradictory results. There were no significant differences in this parameter, the average operation time being of 36 minutes with mounting versus 34 minutes without mounting, but there were differences of even 12 minutes [6,9]. I personally noticed a 2-3 minute difference for an average 40 minute intervention. The endoprosthesis involves a cost increase due to the ureteral stent mounting and extracting manoeuvres [11,12,13].

In this study, the patients with stents had urinary infections and ureteral irrigations in a biggernumber that the ones without a stent. Nevertheless, they are valuable as there has 2011 nag 260

AMT, vol II, nr. 1, 2011, pag. 269

been observed that there is a highly diminished post-operation morbidity in the patients with a stent as well as a more favourable evolution. It is worth mentioning the fact that all the patients could have been discharged in the first 24-48 hours after the operation, but they remained - with their consent- in the hospital during the study so as their evolution to be observed.

CONCLUSIONS

The ureteral stents inserted on a short term after retrograde ureteroscopy for ureteral calculi are important in reducing post-operation colicative pains and the need to administer analgetics, and increasing the patients' post-operation comfort, regardless of the inconvenience of their extraction. There were no benefits recorded regarding the elimination of minor calculi fragments, all the patients –with or without stentbeing stone-free one week after the intervention.

REFERENCES

- Ackerman D., Forsyth M., Halpert L., Steinberg R., Lieberman S., Stent-assisted stone passage in the outpatient setting. J Urol 155;362A 1996.
- Golea O., Osan V.G., Simion C.: Ureteroscopia retrogradă rigidă în terapia calculilor ureterului terminal, post ESWL complicat; Revista Română de Urologie nr.1 2002; 57-59
- Golea O., Osan V. G., Boja R.M.: Litiaze obstructive ale ureterului lombar - terapia endoscopică retrogradă; Revista Română de Urologie vol.4 2005;61.
- 4. Geavlete P., Urologie vol I; Editura Copertext 1999; 247-255.
- Ciutac C., Novae C., Pricop C.: Ureteroscopiile retrograde cu spitalizare prelungită: analiza factorilor predictivi ai complicațiilor; Romanian Journal of Urology p3.12.2010.
- Osan V., Golea O., Simion C.: Eficiența ESWL în tratamentul calculilor ureterului inferior; Revista Română de Urologie, nr.1 2002;53-57.
- Osan V., Simion C.: Litotriția extracorporală în tratamentul litiazei reno-ureterale; Editura UniversityPress Tg. Mureş 2005;108-118.
- Puppo P., Riccio H., Bozzo W., Introini C.: Primary endoscopic treatment of ureteric calculi . A review of 378 cases. Eur. Urol. 1999, 36(1);48-52.
- 9. Sinescu I., Urologie clinică; Editura Almatea 1998;162-186.
- Sinescu I., Gluck G., Tratat de Urologie vol. II, cap13;1211-1238.
- Ciutac C., Novac C., Procop C., Impactul stentării ureterale preoperatorii asupra rezultatului ureteroscopiei retrograde semirigide în tratamentul litiazei; p3.3.2010.
- Geavlete P., Georgescu D., Complicațiile ureteroscopiei retrograde, Experiența clinicii de Urologie Spitalul "Sf. Ioan" după 3125 de ureteroscopii; Revista Română de Urologie, nr.4, 2005.
- 13. Geavlete P., Georgescu D., Cauni V.: Complicațiile stentului ureteral Experiența pe 5000 de cazuri.